PATENT COOPERATION TRE

PCT

REC'D 23 FEB 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		s file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
nternational application No. PCT/EP 02/13850			International filing date (da 06.12.2002	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.12.2002		
			l both national classification an	d IPC			
30B15/10					•		
pplicant							
ESUVIU	SFR	ANCE S.A. et al.					
. This	interna	tional preliminary ex	amination report has beer	prepared by this	International Preliminary Examining		
Autho	ority a	nd is transmitted to th	ne applicant according to	11 IICIB 30.			
2. This	REPC	RT consists of a tota	l of 5 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.			
_			anied by ANNEYES i a	sheets of the des	cription, claims and/or drawings which have		
⊠							
	(see	Rule 70.16 and Sect	e basis for this report and ion 607 of the Administrat	ive instructions u	idei die FOT).		
Thes	se ann	exes consist of a tota	al of 1 sheets.				
			and the state of allowing it	ame:			
3. This	s repor	t contains indications	relating to the following it	ems:			
3. This	s repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinior		ems:			
		Basis of the opinion	1		eten and industrial applicability		
ı	⊠	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to r		step and industrial applicability		
1		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to r	novelty, inventive			
l 11 111		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invol Reasoned stateme citations and expla	of opinion with regard to r ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w nations supporting such s	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
l II III IV		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w nations supporting such s	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement			
l II III IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement			
 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w nations supporting such s	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement			
 V 		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement			
 I IV V V V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observation	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement n Diication	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
 I IV V V V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of Invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement n Diication			
I III IV V VI VII VIII	M D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observation	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement n Dilication Date of complet	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
 I IV V V V	M D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observation	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) we nations supporting such se cited the international applicatio	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement n Diication	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
I III IV V VI VII Date of si	Marian Manian Marian Marian Marian Marian Marian Marian Marian Marian Ma	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in t Certain observation	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) when the supporting such second international applications on the international applications.	novelty, inventive with regard to nove tatement n Dilication Date of complet	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
I II III IV V V VI VIII VIII Date of st	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involutions and explain Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation of the demand	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) when the supporting such sometimes on the international applications of the inter	novelty, inventive vith regard to nove tatement notication Date of completed 23.02.2005	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
I II III IV V V VI VIII VIII Date of st	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involutions and explain Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation of the demand	of opinion with regard to rention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) whations supporting such socited the international applications on the international applicational P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	novelty, inventive vith regard to nove tatement notication Date of completed 23.02.2005	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 02/13850

•	Bas	is o	f th	e r	ep	ort
I.	Das	13 4	,,		~ [

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

Description, Pages								
	1-7		as originally filed					
	Clain 1-7	ns, Numbers	received on 08.12.2004 with letter of 08.12.2004					
	Drav	vings, Sheets						
	1/1		as originally filed					
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	se elements were avail	able or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		() a plating furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b))						
	The language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (und Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).						
3	. Witl inte	h regard to any nucleo rnational preliminary e	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
			national application in written form.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		is the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.					
	4. Th	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 02/13850

5. 🏻	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c	had c)).	not be	
		_		when them a send approved to

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-7

1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

No: Claims

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

"ABSTRACTS + INDEXES, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. COLUMBUS, US" CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS + INDEXES, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. COLUMBUS, US, vol. 105, no. 4, 28 July 1986 (1986-07-28), XP000185916 ISSN: 0009-2258

Novelty

No single prior art document in the international search report discloses a vessel comprising the silicon composite thermet sprayed coating having the claimed ternary composition of metal silicon:silicon nitride:silicon oxide and in the range specified in claim 1. The method, as set out in claim 6, to produce this vessel is not taught either in the prior art. The present application therefore meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

The application addresses the problem of finding coatings for silicon holding vessels which protect molten silicon from contamination arising from contact with the material of the holding vessel (crucible). Prior art coatings involving oxides and nitrides are inadequate from the point of view of mechanical properties due to poor sinterability. The solution proposed by the application is to include silicon metal in a specific ratio range in a mixture containing silicon nitride and silicon oxides which is applied as a thermet coating to the interior wall of the holding vessel. D1, considered as the closest prior art, teaches using a "releasing-agent layer" comprising a layer of Si₃N₄, SiO₂,SiO or BN mixed with Si. D1 does not suggest the specific ternary composition and range presently claimed. The applicant has shown that specific technical effects (binding strength, coating strength, wettability) are achieved by this ternary composition. Since this composition nor the achieved effects are suggested in D1 or other prior art documents, the subject matter of claim 1 and the corresponding method claim 6 is not considered to be obvious. The present application thus meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-7 involves an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

The claimed subject matter is considered to be industrially applicable and thus fulfilling the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 02/13850

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.